



## A refinement of Alperin's Conjecture for blocks of the endomorphism algebra of the Sylow permutation module

LAURENCE BARKER AND İPEK TUVAY

**Abstract.** We present a refinement of Alperin's Conjecture involving the blocks of the endomorphism algebra of the permutation module formed by the cosets of a  $p$ -subgroup. We prove the conjecture in two special cases where every weight module has a simple socle.

**Mathematics Subject Classification.** Primary 20C20.

**Keywords.** Weight module, Cyclic defect group, Connected module.

**1. Statement of the Conjecture.** Shortly after proposing his weight conjecture [2], Alperin suggested, in seminars, that one approach towards tackling the conjecture would be to examine the endomorphism algebra  $\text{End}_{kG}(kG/S)$  of the permutation  $kG$ -module  $kG/S$ . Here,  $k$  is an algebraically closed field of prime characteristic  $p$  and  $S$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of a finite group  $G$ . Naehrig [10] has supplied some empirical evidence to suggest that the simple socle constituents of the regular module of  $\text{End}_{kG}(kG/S)$  may serve as an intermediate tool to relate the simple  $kG$ -modules with the weight  $kG$ -modules.

Recall, a **weight  $kG$ -module** is defined to be an indecomposable  $kG$ -module  $W$  such that, letting  $P$  be a vertex of  $W$ , then the  $kN_G(P)$ -module in Green correspondence with  $W$  is the inflation of a simple projective  $kN_G(P)/P$ -module. The weak form of Alperin's Weight Conjecture [2] asserts that the number of isomorphism classes of simple  $kG$ -modules is equal to the number of isomorphism classes of weight  $kG$ -modules. The block form of Alperin's Conjecture asserts that, given a block  $b$  of  $kG$ , then the number of isomorphism classes of simple  $kGb$ -modules is equal to the number of isomorphism classes of weight  $kGb$ -modules.

---

This work was completed with the support of Tübitak Scientific and Technological Research Funding Program 1001 with the grant number 114F078.

By an easy application of Frobenius Reciprocity, every simple  $kG$ -module occurs in both the socle and the head of  $kG/S$ . The rationale for the study of  $\text{End}_{kG}(kG/S)$  arises from the following observation of Alperin [2, Lemma 1], which tells us that, in particular, every weight  $kG$ -module occurs in both the socle and the head of  $kG/S$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** (Alperin) *Every weight  $kG$ -module occurs as a direct summand of the Sylow permutation  $kG$ -module  $kG/S$ .*

We deem all  $kG$ -modules to be finite-dimensional. A  $kG$ -module  $L$  is said to be **connected** provided  $\text{End}_{kG}(L)$  has a unique block. It is easy to see that a direct summand  $L$  of a  $kG$ -module  $M$  is maximal among the connected direct summands of  $M$  if and only if  $L = eM$  for some block  $e$  of  $\text{End}_{kG}(M)$ . When these equivalent conditions hold, we call  $L$  a **proper component** of  $M$ . Plainly, any  $kG$ -module is the direct sum of its proper components.

We say that a  $kG$ -module  $L$  **lies in** a  $kG$ -module  $M$ , written  $L \dashv M$ , provided that  $L$  is isomorphic to the image of a  $kG$ -endomorphism of a direct sum of finitely many copies of  $M$ . This is equivalent to the condition that there exists a direct sum  $M'$  of finitely many copies of  $M$  such that  $L$  is isomorphic to a submodule of  $M'$  and  $L$  is isomorphic to a quotient module of  $M'$ . We say that  $M$  is **accordant** provided the number of isomorphism classes of simple  $kG$ -modules lying in  $M$  is equal to the number of isomorphism classes of weight  $kG$ -modules lying in  $M$ .

Using Lemma 1.1, it is not hard to see that, for any  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$ , the weak form of Alperin's Conjecture holds for  $kG$  if and only if the permutation  $kG$ -module  $kG/P$  is accordant.

**Conjecture 1.2.** *For any  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$ , every proper component of  $kG/P$  is accordant.*

The next three remarks are very easy and we omit the proofs.

**Remark 1.3.** Given a connected  $kG$ -module  $L$  lying in a  $kG$ -module  $M$ , then  $L$  lies in a unique proper component of  $M$ .

**Remark 1.4.** Let  $U$  and  $V$  be connected  $kG$ -modules lying in a  $kG$ -module  $M$ . Then  $U$  and  $V$  lie in the same proper component of  $M$  if and only if there exist connected  $kG$ -modules  $W_0, \dots, W_r$  lying in  $M$  such that  $W_0 \cong U$  and  $W_r \cong V$  and for each  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , there exists a non-zero  $kG$ -map  $W_{i-1} \rightarrow W_i$  or  $W_{i-1} \leftarrow W_i$ .

**Remark 1.5.** Let  $L$  and  $M$  be  $kG$ -modules such that  $L \dashv M$ . Let  $U$  and  $V$  be connected  $kG$ -modules lying in  $L$ . Then  $U$  and  $V$  lie in  $M$ . If  $U$  and  $V$  lie in the same proper component of  $L$ , then  $U$  and  $V$  lie in the same proper component of  $M$ .

In the special case where  $P$  is trivial, Conjecture 1.2 is equivalent to the block form of Alperin's Conjecture. So the next result can be interpreted as saying that Conjecture 1.2 is a refinement of Alperin's Conjecture.

**Proposition 1.6.** *Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$  with  $P \leq Q$ . If every proper component of  $kG/Q$  is accordant, then every proper component of  $kG/P$  is accordant.*

*Proof.* By Frobenius Reciprocity, every simple  $kG$ -module lies in  $kG/Q$ . By Lemma 1.1, every weight  $kG$ -module lies in  $kG/S$ . But  $kG/S \dashv kG/Q$ , so every weight  $kG$ -module lies in  $kG/Q$ . Since  $kG/Q \dashv kG/P$ , the required conclusion now follows from Remark 1.5.  $\square$

Therefore, if Conjecture 1.2 holds when  $P = S$ , then it holds for all  $p$ -subgroups  $P$  of  $G$  and, in particular, the block form of Alperin's Conjecture holds for  $kG$ .

Let us point out a connection with Naehrig [10]. When two indecomposable direct summands  $U$  and  $V$  of  $kG/S$  are equivalent in the sense of [10, 4.1(b)], the corresponding principal indecomposable modules of  $\text{End}_{kG}(kG/S)$  lie in the same block of  $\text{End}_{kG}(kG/S)$ , hence  $U$  and  $V$  lie in the same connected component of  $kG/S$ .

In Sect. 2, we shall illustrate the conjecture with some examples. In Sect. 3, we shall deal with two special cases. We shall show that, when  $G$  has a split BN-pair of characteristic  $p$ , the Cabanes–Sawada Theorem immediately implies that the conjecture holds for the Sylow permutation  $kG$ -module. We shall also show that, letting  $T$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of the normalizer of a cyclic defect group of a block  $b$  of  $kG$ , then the conjecture holds for the proper components of  $bkG/T$ .

The conjecture originates in [3]. Though not mentioned in [4], it was one of the motives for the defect theory, in [4], for blocks of endomorphism algebras.

**2. Some examples.** In this section, to illustrate Conjecture 1.2, we present the structure of the Sylow permutation module in two particular cases.

First put  $p = 2$  and  $G = A_7$ . Using the MAGMA source code in Zimmermann's thesis [12], it can be shown that, over the field  $\mathbb{F}_2$  of order 2, the 2-Sylow permutation module has the depicted structure, where  $n$  denotes an  $n$ -dimensional simple  $\mathbb{F}_2G$ -module and  $n^*$  denotes its dual.

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \oplus (14) \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 14 & 20 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 14 & 20 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 2 \begin{pmatrix} 20 \\ 1 \\ 14 \\ 1 \\ 20 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 14 & & \\ & 1 & \\ 14 & & 20 \\ & 1 & \\ & 14 & \end{pmatrix} \\ \oplus (6) \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 4^* \\ 6 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 6 \\ 4^* \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 6 & \\ 4 & 4^* \\ & 6 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Zimmermann's MAGMA routines or, alternatively, using data in Benson [5, Appendix], it can be shown that all 6 of the simple  $\mathbb{F}_2G$ -modules are absolutely simple.

Again using MAGMA or [5, Appendix], it can be shown that the indecomposable summands with Loewy length 5 are projective and therefore cannot be weight modules. The non-simple indecomposable summand with socle 6

has vertex  $V_4$  and has a 4-dimensional non-simple Green correspondent, so this summand is not a weight module. But the simple summand 6 and the indecomposable summands with socles 4 and  $4^*$  all have vertex  $V_4$  and Green correspondents that are 2-dimensional, absolutely simple, and inflated from projective modules. So those three summands are weight modules. Similarly, the simple summands 1 and 14 and the indecomposable summand with socle  $14 + 20$  are weight modules. Evidently, the proper components of the Sylow permutation module have dimensions 1, 260, 54 with 1, 2, 3 isomorphism classes of simple modules and 1, 2, 3 isomorphism classes of weight modules lying in them.

Let us give an example where the partitioning of simple modules and weight modules into blocks of  $\text{End}_{kG}(kG/S)$  is much finer than the partitioning into blocks of  $kG$ . Using MAGMA or [5, Appendix], it is not hard to show that, for  $p = 3$  and  $G = M_{10}$ , the 3-Sylow permutation module has the structure

$$(1) \oplus (1_-) \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 4 & & \\ & 1_- & \\ & & 4^* \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 4^* & & \\ & 1_- & \\ & & 4 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 2 \begin{pmatrix} 6 & & \\ 4 & 4^* & \\ & & 6 \end{pmatrix} \oplus (9_1) \oplus (9_2).$$

In this case, the principal block of  $kG$  contains 4 of the proper components.

The authors have also confirmed that Conjecture 1.2 holds for the groups  $S_6, A_7, L_2(25), M_{11}, J_1$  in characteristic 2, for  $S_6, S_7, A_8, L_3(4), L_2(25), M_{11}$  in characteristic 3, and for McL in characteristic 5. Using data in Lempken–Staszewski [9], it can be shown that, in the principal 5-block of McL, three of the weight modules have socles of the form  $2.250 + 896_2$  and  $2.560 + 3038 + 3245_1 + 3245_2$  and  $896_1 + 3.3038$ .

**3. Proof in two special cases.** Let us first show that the conjecture holds in the scenario of the Cabanes–Sawada Theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** (Cabanes–Sawada) *Suppose that  $G$  has a split BN-pair of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $S$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Then:*

1. *Every indecomposable direct summand of  $kG/S$  is a weight  $kG$ -module. Every weight  $kG$ -module occurs with multiplicity 1 in  $kG/S$ .*
2. *There is a bijective correspondence between the isomorphism classes of simple  $kG$ -modules  $U$  and the isomorphism classes of weight  $kG$ -modules  $W$  such that the isomorphism classes of  $U$  and  $W$  correspond provided  $U \cong \text{soc}(W)$ .*

*In particular, every proper component of  $kG/S$  is accordant.*

*Proof.* This follows from Cabanes [6, Proposition 8], which says that the weak form of Alperin’s Conjecture holds for  $kG$ , and Sawada [11, 2.8], which says that every simple  $kG$ -module has multiplicity 1 in  $\text{soc}(kG/S)$ .  $\square$

For another approach towards simultaneously refining Alperin’s Conjecture and generalizing the Cabanes–Sawada Theorem, see [10, Section 3]. We now turn to the case of a block with a cyclic defect group.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $b$  be a block of  $kG$  with a cyclic defect group  $D$ . Let  $T$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $N_G(D)$ . Then every proper component of  $bkG/T$  is accordant.*

*Proof.* Erdmann's Theorem [7] asserts that, given a simple  $kG$ -module  $V$  with cyclic vertex  $Q$ , then  $Q$  is the defect group of the block of  $kG$  containing  $V$ . Hence, using the compatibility of the Green correspondence and the Brauer correspondence, as recorded in Alperin [1, 14.4], it is easy to show that every simple  $kGb$ -module and every weight  $kGb$ -module has vertex  $D$ .

We may assume that  $D$  is non-trivial. Let  $E$  be the smallest non-trivial subgroup of  $D$ . Suppose that  $E \trianglelefteq G$ . Given a subgroup  $L$  of  $G$  containing  $E$ , we write  $\bar{L} = L/E$ . Let  $\bar{b}$  be the image of  $b$  under the canonical epimorphism  $kG \rightarrow k\bar{G}$ . The simple  $kGb$ -modules, all of which have vertex  $D$ , are the inflations of the simple  $k\bar{G}$ -modules, all of which have vertex  $\bar{D}$ . Writing  $\bar{b} = \sum_i b_i$  as a sum of blocks  $b_i$  of  $k\bar{G}$ , then all the blocks  $b_i$  have defect group  $\bar{D}$ . Since  $\bar{T}$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of the group  $N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{D}) = \overline{N_G(D)}$ , an inductive argument on  $|D|$  allows us to assume that every proper component of  $\bar{b}k\bar{G}/\bar{T}$  is accordant. Observing that  $\bar{b}k\bar{G}/\bar{T}$  inflates to  $bkG/T$ , we deduce that  $bkG/T$  is accordant in the case  $E \trianglelefteq G$ .

Now suppose that  $E$  is not normal in  $G$ . Let  $H = N_G(E)$ . Since  $D$  is cyclic,  $N_G(D) \leq H$ . Let  $c$  be the block of  $kH$  with defect group  $P$  such that  $c$  is in Brauer correspondence with  $b$ . By Erdmann's Theorem combined with the compatibility of the Green correspondence and the Brauer correspondence again, the Green correspondence, with respect to vertex  $D$ , restricts to a bijective correspondence between the isomorphism classes of weight  $kHc$ -modules and the isomorphism classes of weight  $kGb$ -modules. Green [8, Theorem 1(ii)] says that the isomorphism classes of simple  $kHc$ -modules  $V$  are in a bijective correspondence with the isomorphism classes of simple  $kGb$ -modules  $U$  whereby  $V \leftrightarrow U$  provided  $U$  is isomorphic to the socle of the Green correspondent of  $V$ .

Let  $W$  be a weight  $kHc$ -module, and let  $V$  be a simple  $kHc$ -module. Let  $\mathcal{G}(W)$  and  $\mathcal{G}(V)$  denote the  $kGb$ -modules in Green correspondence with  $W$  and  $V$ , respectively. By the previous paragraph,  $\mathcal{G}(W)$  is a weight  $kGb$ -module and  $\mathcal{G}(V)$  is an indecomposable  $kGb$ -module with a unique simple submodule  $V_G$ . Supposing that  $W$  and  $V$  lie in the same proper component of the  $kH$ -module  $kH/T$  then, by [4, Corollary 5.7(b)],  $\mathcal{G}(W)$  and  $\mathcal{G}(V)$  lie in the same proper component of the  $kG$ -module  $kG/T \cong {}_G\text{Ind}_H(kH/T)$ . Plainly,  $\mathcal{G}(W)$  and  $V_G$  lie in the same proper component of  $kG/T$ . We have shown that, given a weight  $kHc$ -module and a simple  $kHc$ -module lying in the same proper component of  $kH/T$ , then the corresponding weight  $kGb$ -module and simple  $kGb$ -module lie in the same proper component of  $kG/T$ . The required conclusion for  $bkG/T$  now follows because, by an inductive argument on  $|G|$ , we may assume that the required conclusion holds for  $ckH/T$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] J. L. ALPERIN, Local Representation Theory, (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1986).
- [2] J. L. ALPERIN, Weights for finite groups, *Symp. Pure Math.* **47**(1987) 369–379
- [3] L. BARKER, Blocks of endomorphism algebras of modules, PhD Thesis, University of Oxford, Oxford (1991).
- [4] L. BARKER, Blocks of endomorphism algebras, *J. Alg.* **168**(1994), 728–740
- [5] D. BENSON, “Modular Representation Theory: New Trends and Methods”, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. 1081, (Springer, Berlin, 1984).
- [6] M. CABANES, Brauer morphism between modular Hecke algebras, *J. Alg.* **115**(1988), 1–31
- [7] K. ERDMANN, Blocks and simple modules with cyclic vertices, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **9**(1977), 216–218
- [8] J. A. GREEN, Walking around the Brauer tree, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **17**(1974), 197–213
- [9] W. LEMPKEN, R. STASZEWSKI, Some 5-modular representation theory for the simple group  $McL$ , *Comm. Alg.* **21**(1993), 1611–1629
- [10] N. NAEHRIG, Endomorphism rings of permutation modules, *J. Alg.* **324**(2010), 1044–1075
- [11] H. SAWADA, A characterization of the modular representations of a finite group with split BN-pairs, *Math. Zeit.* **155**(1977), 29–41
- [12] R. ZIMMERMANN, Vertizes einfacher Moduln Symmetrischer Gruppen, PhD Thesis, University of Jena, Jena (2004).

LAURENCE BARKER  
Department of Mathematics,  
Bilkent University,  
06800 Bilkent, Ankara,  
Turkey  
e-mail: [barker@fen.bilkent.edu.tr](mailto:barker@fen.bilkent.edu.tr)

İPEK TUVAY  
Department of Mathematics,  
Mimar Sinan Fine Arts University,  
34380 Bomonti, Şişli, İstanbul,  
Turkey  
e-mail: [ipektuvay@gmail.com](mailto:ipektuvay@gmail.com)

Received: 25 March 2015